Doing Good with Shopping – A Systematic Review of the Effects of Cause-related Marketing on Purchase Intention

Abstract

Commitment to social and ecological responsibility is becoming increasingly relevant for companies, as more and more customers are demanding companies to act in a more sustainable and conscious manner (Bockman et al., 2009; Schmeltz, 2012). Cause-related Marketing, as a strategy of showing socially responsible practices is both an academic and a managerial marketing issue. One of the older questions in the debate on Cause-related Marketing is whether it is profitable for organisations to pay attention to social requirements as factors that cause purchasing intention. Today, taking social aspects into account is an important trigger for empirical research in Cause-related Marketing. Understanding whether and which aspects of Cause-related Marketing make a difference for purchase intention have important implications for marketing managers and researchers. However, the answer to this question has not yet been found, as the fragmented literature shows.

This apparent ambiguity invites a systematic review of the literature that can clarify the debate whether Cause-related Marketing has effects on purchase intention and allow conclusions to be drawn concerning which kind of impact it has.

Through intensive literature research, a total of 61 papers published between 1992 and 2018 were identified and then classified according to the definition of Cause-related Marketing of Varadarajan & Menon (1988) based on a framework developed by the author. The papers were then analyzed descriptively.

The results show that interest in this area is growing and that year after year a wider variety of topics and methods are emerging. However, so far only individual aspects have been examined. The cause-brand fit was most frequently studied. Constantly changing conditions make it necessary to combine various Cause-related Marketing components.
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1. Introduction

As more and more customers are turning away from materialism, current trends are emerging that aim to reduce the negative effects of purchases on the environment and society. This changing behavior is one of the driving forces behind Cause-related Marketing. Cause-related Marketing links product sales to the support of a cause (Varadarajan and Menon, 1988) and can be classified under the superordinate term corporate social responsibility. The topic of Cause-related Marketing is not new, but it must be refined due to emerging innovative technologies. It is no longer enough to only consider changing customers’ attitudes and subsequent behaviors. Mobile technology and social networks have revealed other forms of accountability such as sharing economy, freeganism and pay-per-use (Jastrzebska, 2017).

Due to fragmented research thus far, the goal of this investigation is to review research on Cause-related Marketing and structure the empirical and theoretical findings to give an overview of the current state of research.

The paper proceeds as follows. In the next section, the relevance of Cause-related Marketing will be discussed considering the aspects of corporate social responsibility and purchasing behavior. Thereafter, the research objectives and methodology will be addressed. Then the conceptual framework will be introduced. This section is followed by the analysis of the current state of research on the effect of Cause-related Marketing on purchase intention. The ensuing discussion highlights the research gaps derived from the literature review. In the last section the limitations and possible avenues for future research will be pointed out.

2. The Evolution of Cause-related Marketing

Corporate Social Responsibility and Cause-related Marketing

Companies must take responsibility for their actions or, in other words, develop a corporate social responsibility strategy. The first comprehensive discussion of corporate social responsibility took place in the USA during the 1950’s. The publication “Social Responsibilities of the Businessmen” by Bowen (1953) marked the beginning of the debate on this concept. In 1979, Carroll laid the foundation for a model that is still one of the best-known and most cited models for corporate social responsibility (Ma et al., 2012). Carroll defines corporate social responsibility as a construct that meets society’s economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic expectations. In 1991, Carroll illustrated these four responsibilities in a pyramid. Due to emerging criticism of the pyramid form as an allocation of values to the individual categories and the division into charitable responsibility, Carroll and Schwartz modified their allocation in 2003 and developed a corporate social responsibility approach with three responsibilities that merged into one another. They subsumed the category philanthropic under ethical responsibility.

Cause-related Marketing

Rooted within the corporate social responsibility landscape is the field of Cause-related Marketing. It describes the corporate practice of linking company donations to product sales (Varadarajan and Menon, 1988). According to this definition, the donation depends on the engagement of the customers. For each transaction, the company donates a certain amount for a specific cause. Kotler and Lee (2005) define Cause-related Marketing in a comparable way and express the donation as a certain percentage of sales revenue. However, according to Adkins (1999), this purely transaction-related view of Cause-related Marketing is too limited. Other marketing instruments such as advertising, public relations, direct marketing and sponsoring are also a part of Cause-related Marketing (Adkins, 1999). It can therefore be stated that no unified definition of Cause-related Marketing exists thus far.

However, the definitions only differ regarding the concept range. Opinions vary as to whether Cause-related Marketing requires a transaction (Kotler and Lee, 2005) or just connecting a company for a relevant social purpose that benefits both parties, the firm and the charity (Pringle and Thompson, 1999). There are similarities, such as the mutually profitable business relationship between companies and non-profit organizations. Since the broad definition according to Pringle and Thompson or Adkins is too imprecise, the classification according to Varadarajan and Menon is used as a basis for the further course of this investigation.

Cause-related Marketing and Purchase Behavior

The current state of research on Cause-related Marketing and its effect on purchase behavior is fragmented. The
existing studies have only focused on specific issues, with no regard to the complete framework. As a means of overcoming fragmentation, Rousseau et al. (2008) suggested systematic reviews to be useful for consolidating findings.

Therefore, this paper presents a narrative literature review that aims to bring some structure into current research and to advance an agenda for future research on Cause-related Marketing in the customers’ attitudes and behavior context.

3. Research Objectives and Methodology

The objective of the study is to review systematically the findings of published research papers and answer the question what impact various types of Cause-related Marketing have on purchase intention. To gain a comprehensive view of how Cause-related Marketing aspects impact purchase behavior, the author observed broadly the academic literature, including three relevant databases: EBSCOhost, Emerald Insight and Science Direct and implemented cross-referencing. Not only have marketing journals been analyzed, but also journals in business ethics and management. These journals also publish issues within the field of Cause-related Marketing within a marketing context. These databases have been searched using the following search criteria: ‘cause-related marketing’ or ‘cause related marketing’ or ‘cause marketing’ and ‘purchase intention’ or ‘buying intention’ or ‘willingness to buy’ or ‘buying willingness’ or ‘purchase probability’ or ‘purchase behavior’ or ‘purchase’. The full text of the articles was searched and the search engine was also allowed to search for similar terms. A total of 181 papers were found as a result. These articles were then scanned and any papers which did not examine the search terms were removed. Therefore, there will be a total of 61 papers investigated in this study ranging from 1992 to 2018. A detailed compilation of all papers used, including theoretical background and methodology, can be found in the appendix.

4. Conceptual Framework

To approach the different data and to gather them in an appropriate way, qualitative researchers often use a loose conceptual framework (Elliott and Timulak, 2005). The classification derived from the Cause-related Marketing definition from Varadarajan and Menon (1988) and the theory of reasoned action (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1988) offers a relevant conceptual frame-work. The framework is depicted in figure 1 (p. 4). Both authors defined Cause-related Mar-keting as ‘the process of formulating and implementing marketing activities that are charac-terized by an offer from the firm to contribute a specified amount to a designated cause when customers engage in revenue-producing exchanges that satisfy organizational and individual objectives’ (p. 60).

5. Results

The results will be analyzed and discussed according to the different aspects of Cause-related Marketing depicted in figure 1 with a focus on the theoretical background and the most important findings.
Firm (brand)

Regarding the element ‘firm’, the following aspects are mainly considered in the literature: cause-brand fit, product type, attitude toward firm/brand.

Cause-brand fit

The element of cause-brand fit is the most studied. The results basically all reflect the same aspect. A high fit between cause and brand results in a higher purchase intention (Chang and Liu, 2012; Chéron, 2012; Gorton et al., 2013; Gupta and Pirsch, 2006; Kerr and Das, 2013; Lafferty, 2007; Melero and Montaner, 2016; Neel et al., 2013; Samu and Wymer, 2009; Sung and Lee, 2016).

This can be justified by the help of information-integration theory (Anderson, 1981). Brands are presented in alliance with a charity in order to evoke associative learning of the customer and thus transfer social associations to the brand. Some authors investigate additional moderator or mediator effects. On the one hand, cause-brand fit can act as a mediator between the relationship of attitudes and purchase intention (Neel et al., 2013). On the other hand, various customer-related effects, such as need for cognition (Kerr and Das, 2013) or gender (Chéron, 2012) moderate the cause-brand fit effect on purchase intention. People with a high need for cognition consider fit as important for purchase intention.

While the above-mentioned authors made a distinction between high and low fit, Chang and Liu (2012) distinguished between consistent and complimentary fit. The type of product moderates the effect, determining whether a consistent or complimentary fit is better.

All studies found a significant effect of cause-brand fit on purchase intention, except for the studies by Roy (2003, 2010). One possible explanation for this deviating result is that the researcher measured the effect of the interaction with type of firm and concluded that only the interaction of cause-brand fit and type of firm plays a role for purchase intention.

Product type

In Cause-related Marketing campaigns, company donations are linked to product sales. Products themselves can be divided into utilitarian and hedonic products. Regarding their effects on purchase intention when combined with a donation, the researchers came to different conclusions. On the one hand they stated that purchase intention is greater for hedonic products when combined with a donation than utilitarian products (Melero and Montaner, 2016; Strahi-leivitz and Myers, 1998). Another researcher states that utilitarian products are more effective for the use in a Cause-related Marketing campaign (Galan-Ladero et al., 2013). Minton and Cornwell (2016) found out that adding a cause to a product, regardless of the type, did not significantly increase purchase intention. These contradicting findings can be explained by different individual cognitive processes which lead to different evaluations of the use of a product and its effects. The consumption of hedonic products can for example cause feelings of guilt. The dissonance theory by Festinger (1957) provides a theoretical structure for understanding guilt-induced behavior. To maintain cognitive consistency people buy social responsible products.

Attitude toward firm (brand)

Several authors confirm that the attitude toward the firm or brand must be positive for the cause-related campaign to be successful (Bigne-Alcaniz et al., 2012; Baek, 2017; He et al., 2016; Lee and Ferreira, 2011; Pérez, 2009; Shu-Pei, 2009). Attitude toward firm or brand can function as mediator or moderator. Information-integration theory (Anderson, 1981) explains that customers’ prior attitude or knowledge influences them in assessing new information that they receive from a Cause-related Marketing campaign. The attitude to the firm or brand mediates on the one hand the relationship between Cause-related Marketing and purchase intention (Baek, 2017; Bigne-Alcaniz et al., 2012; Pérez, 2009; Qamar, 2013; Shabbir et al., 2010). On the other hand, identification with the brand moderates the evaluation of Cause-related marketing and its effects on purchase intention (Lee and Ferreira, 2011; Rathod et al., 2014).

Regarding a negative attitude or identification with the firm, there is a contradictory view concerning its effect on purchase intention. On the one hand, some authors recognized that when identification with the firm or brand is low Cause-related Marketing provides an additional reason to buy the product (Lee and Ferreira, 2011). Gabrielli and Baghi (2010) argue on the other hand that the support of a charitable cause cannot compensate for a bad image.
Specified amount

Among the element specified amount, the various levels of donation and the type of presentation has been examined. This is mainly limited to financial donations; the non-financial donation is only examined in one study.

Level of financial donation amount

Several authors examined the diverse levels of donation amount and found that the highest level of purchase intention can be achieved when donation amount is perceived to be high (Boenigk and Schuchardt, 2013; Koschate-Fischer et al., 2012, 2016).

This effect is moderated by product price (Boenigk and Schuchardt, 2013), but also by customer-related motives. The customers’ attitude toward helping others and their warm glow feelings are influential in this regard (Koschate-Fischer et al., 2012). A high product price and positive emotions positively influence the relational structure.

Presentation of financial donation amount

The presentation of financial donation amount is essential. Presenting the donations in an absolute amount is better compared with presenting it as percentage of profit or price (Kleber et al., 2016). This effect is moderated by individual difference in numeracy. Expressing the specified amount as percentage leads to confusion and to an overestimation of the amount spent (Olsen et al., 2003).

If the donation amount is presented with a percentage then a fixed percentage is better for increasing purchase intention (Hyllegard et al., 2011). If the donation amount is presented as absolute amount it does not play a role if it is an exact amount or a vague amount of a currency (Kerr and Das, 2013).

Only one study cares about non-financial donation – in particular the donation of a product in the form of a buy-one-give-one-promotion (BOGO). Hamby (2016) found out that non-financial donations are more effective with utilitarian products (moderator) through the mediator of perceived helpfulness.

Construal-level theory (Liberman et al., 2007) serves as theoretical justification of these effects. The theory organizes customer thinking on a continuum of high and low construal. Presentations with concrete features such as an absolute amount of money can evoke a concrete construal which arouses more attention. Personal relevance theory (Sperber and Wilson, 1986) can also contribute to explaining this effect. The theory will be discussed in more detail in the next paragraph.

Designated cause

For the element designated cause, mainly cause choice and the proximity effect (temporal and local) have been investigated.

Cause choice

The researchers concluded that allowing customers to choose a cause has a positive effect on the intention to buy (Howie et al., 2018; Kull and Heath, 2016; Lucke and Heinze, 2015; Robinson et al., 2012). This effect is mediated by personal characteristics such as collectivism (Robinson et al., 2012) or involvement (Kull and Heath, 2016), customer empowerment and commitment (Lucke and Heinze, 2015).

This effect can be explained by the personal relevance for the customer. Relevance is defined in relevance theory (Sperber and Wilson, 1986) as a function of processing effort and positive cognitive effect. A positive cognitive effect is achieved when information is particularly important for the situation in which a customer finds himself or when a significant change is activated in the customer’s surroundings.

Proximity effect

The proximity effect plays a role with regard to temporal and local proximity. Customers are more willing to support causes occurring suddenly (Hou et al., 2008; Tangari et al, 2010; Vyravene and Rabbanee, 2016) and are locally close (Ross, Patterson and Stutts, 1992) rather than ongoing or far away causes. In terms of duration; customers prefer longer lasting campaigns over short lasting campaigns (Youn and Kim, 2018). Customers’ temporal orientations moderate the influence of the temporal framing within the ad on purchase intention (Tangari et al, 2010).

This relevance of distance has its origin in the social impact theory, which states that the reaction to a social stimulus, such
as naming the recipient of a donation in an advertising campaign, is influenced by the proximity and immediacy of the origin of the donation (Latané, 1996; Latané and Bourgeois, 2001).

Ad appeal

It has also been examined to what extent the cause should be designed in terms of visual aspects. Examination has been done on portraying either cause or brand as main aspect. Portraying the brand instead of the cause in the ad is more effective for purchase intention when focusing on utilitarian products (Chang, 2012; Lafferty and Edmonson, 2009). Chang (2011) tested the effect of different ad appeals (guilt vs. non-guilt). The researcher concluded that when the cause is communicated with a guilt appeal and a practical product, purchase intention is higher. Cognitive dissonance theory (Festinger, 1957) can function as an explanatory approach. Customers try to reduce their feeling of guilt by buying a social product.

Kind of cause

There are several types of causes a company can donate money to, e.g. to human, and health, environmental or animal causes. When it comes to purchase intention, cause does not directly play a role (Guerreiro, 2015; Lafferty and Edmonson, 2014) only an indirect one through cause-brand fit (among others Chang and Liu, 2012).

Customers

The personality traits of customers will be analyzed on the basis of their sociodemographic characteristics and traits. Following the definition of Varadarajan and Menon (1988), the term customer is consistently used for this investigation. However, this does not mean the repeat buyer, but the prospective buyer. This difference is also decisive for the viewpoint of the results. There was no clear use of the two terms in the papers investigated; they were often used interchangeably.

Gender

With regard to sociodemographic, only the trait of gender was considered. Avilelaa and Nelson (2016) showed that gender plays a role as moderator on the attitude toward sponsorship and perception of brand quality as predictors of purchase intention. The results showed that women are more likely to buy Cause-related Marketing products. Hyllegaard et al. (2010) instead found no difference. Most samples were student samples and therefore did not control for other sociodemographic variables.

Emotions

Emotions are important to consider when creating a Cause-related Marketing campaign. Triggering positive emotions (Andrews et al., 2014, Guerreiro, 2015; Tucker et al., 2012) or reducing negative emotions by participation (Elving, 2013; Kim and Johnson, 2013) plays a significant role as a mediator in the relationship. Also important is how people perceive themselves, the self-construal, which functions as a moderator (Chen and Huang 2016; Kim and Johnson, 2013). Interdependent people tend to react more on moral emotions than independent participants.

Involvement/Commitment

Cause/Brand-Involvement is decisive for the success of Cause-related Marketing campaigns. Several studies confirm that a prominent level of involvement triggers a higher purchase intention (Berger et al. 1999; Bester and Jere 2012; Gorton et al. 2013; Hajtat 2003; Hyllegaard et al., 2010, 2011; Sheikh and Beise-Zee, 2011; Sung and Lee 2016; Vaidyanathan and Aggarwal 2005). Involvement can act as mediator, moderator or independent variable in the relationship between Cause-related Marketing and purchasing intention.

6. Discussion and Conclusion

The literature review tried to summarize extant research on the effect of Cause-related Marketing elements on purchase behavior, to connect the findings of several studies and to derive gaps in research that might guide future research. It has been noted that interest in this area is growing and that year after year a wider variety of topics and methods are
emerging. The number of growing studies shows the advantages and potentials of the use of Cause-related Marketing elements to increase purchase intention. However, in most of the studies only one aspect of Cause-related Marketing has been examined. That is, Cause-brand fit was the most investigated. One possible reason might be the rising concern of greenwashing activities (Du, 2014; Lyon and Montgomery, 2015; Nyilasy et al., 2014). Lyon and Montgomery define greenwashing as communication that encourages customers to get a positive impression of a company’s sustainability practices without implementing appropriate measures in the company. Due to this rising concern and constantly changing environmental conditions; the combination of different aspects of Cause-related Marketing can be useful to strengthen the understanding of the underlying mechanisms to explore links to new concepts. Especially the effects of moderators and mediators show that it is also necessary to deal with the combination of various aspects.

7. Limitations and Further Research

This review contains at least three limitations. The first limitation refers to the selection of the journals. No journal was excluded from the search due to its rankings (e.g. ERIM Journals List or Science Citation Index). This served to give an overview of the current research landscape. Secondly, the specific choice of purchase intention as dependent variable can be questioned. The literature that deals with the effect of Cause-related Marketing on purchase intention is limited. The relatively small number of studies of the issue and the high number of rejected papers could be viewed as suggesting a need to understand the topic as an emerging research domain. If other dependent variables had been included, such as attitude toward the company, there would have been more references, but the results would have been diluted as well. The third limitation goes hand in hand with the second. Purchase intention was used as a dependent variable but not the actual purchase behavior. This has to be reflected critically insofar as the commitment to a responsible lifestyle does not necessarily have to be replicated in the purchase of sustainable products. This relationship is described in the literature as the mind-behavior gap (Schuitema and Groot, 2015). Customers lack the motivation to reflect on their actions when shopping and often do not see themselves in a position to reliably assess the effects of their purchase. Out of convenience, familiar patterns of buying behavior are used. The buying behavior shows a resistance to change (Barbarossa and Pastore, 2015).

This limitation leads to the first focal area for future research. In addition to improve the understanding of actual behavior, research is needed on actual customer behavior. A comparison must be made between the willingness to buy and then compared with actual sales figures. Furthermore, qualitative studies should be carried out to determine possible reasons for the mind-behavior gap.

Besides that, there are many more opportunities for future research which will be presented according to the used framework.

Firm (brand)

The integrated firm is always a manufacturer; an examination of a retailer or a service provider is missing. It is interesting to find out whether information-integration theory applies and whether positive information about the retailer or a service provider can be transferred to a Cause-related Marketing campaign (Anderson, 1981).

As mentioned above, concerns of Greenwashing activities are rising (Du 2014; Lyon and Montgomery, 2015; Nyilasy et al., 2014). The skepticism among customers is mainly triggered by the communication of various oppositional stakeholders, such as the press. A study on the effects of the inclusion of negative press (e.g. as a moderator) has not yet been carried out. Given the growing importance of this issue, it would also be appropriate to take this aspect into account.

Specified amount

The growing skepticism of customers is also contributing to the next research gap. Only the donation per product is examined. An investigation of the effects of the actual amount of donations has not yet been carried out. However, it is possible that the donation per product is high, however not the total donation amount in comparison to the company’s turnover.

There has also been no comparison between financial and non-financial donations. However, this is particularly necessary in view of the developments in practice. A start-up in Germany, Sharefoods, focuses on the BOGO donation and donates one product with every product purchased. The campaign is well accepted by customers (about-drinks, 2018). Construal level theory (Liberman et al., 2007) supports this principle, as it is often easier for customers to assess the counter value of a product than to estimate how much a percentage donation is.
Designated cause

Praxis is also the trigger for the next research gap. Two large companies have already discovered the holidays, especially the time shortly before Christmas, to combine with a call for donations. The holidays mark a time to reflect, give thanks, and give back. Coca-Cola and Uber are using this time to support Cause-related Marketing campaigns for suffering children (Clarabridge, 2018). In research, the examination of a fit between donation and occasion is lacking. Relevance theory (Sperber and Wilson, 1986) offers the corresponding theoretical starting points. It can be assumed that the relevance of an event such as Christmas promotes the processing of information and thus positively represents the relevance of the donation.

Customers

The literature review showed that 3 of 4 studies used a convenience sample consisting of students. Since sustainable behavior often also depends on socio-demographic variables, there is a need for further research into different clusters in the population.

Another interesting area for future research is other industries. So far mainly the FMCG industry has been examined. The examination of different industries would be useful in the interest of improving generalization of the results. There is for example the trend toward conducting e-commerce via mobile phones (Turban et al. 2018). This innovation in purchase processing should be taken into account in the transaction-based definition of Cause-related Marketing. The technology makes it possible, for example, to give more information on types of donations. It also enables the customer himself to set a donation amount.

Finally, it can be said that attention should be paid to the customers and their increased involvement in Cause-related Marketing.
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## Appendix

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Theoretical foundation</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Key results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt al. (2014)</td>
<td>Cause Marketing Effectiveness and the Moderating Role of Price Discounts</td>
<td>Journal of Marketing</td>
<td>Theory of Warm-Glow Giving</td>
<td>Experimental design, n = 426 students</td>
<td>In combination with a given price discount, the impact of Cause-related Marketing on purchase intention is greatest at a moderate level of discounts. Customers warm glow feelings mediate the impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baek et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Millennial Consumers’ Perception of Sportwear Brand Globalness Impacts Purchase Intention in Cause-related Product Marketing</td>
<td>Social behavior and personality</td>
<td>Attribution theory</td>
<td>Experimental design, n = 382 students</td>
<td>Appraisal of the brand plays a significant role in evaluating brand-cause fit and firm motives which then influences purchase intention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berger et al. (1999)</td>
<td>Consumer Persuasion Through Cause-Related Advertising</td>
<td>Advances in Consumer Research</td>
<td>Theory on prosocial behavior</td>
<td>Experimental design, n(1) = 196, n(2) = 210 students</td>
<td>The inclusion of a cause claim influences purchase intention through the mediation of brand attitude, involvement and perceived argument quality. Women tend to respond more positively to Cause-related Marketing than men.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal/Media</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bigne-Alcaniz et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Cause-related Marketing: The Influence of Cause-Brand Fit, Firm Motives and Attribute Altruistic to Consumer Inferences and Loyalty and Moderation Effect of Consumer Values</td>
<td>Journal of Marketing Communications</td>
<td>Information accessibility-theory, social identity theory, theory of reasoned action</td>
<td>Experimental design, ( n(1) = 373, n(2) = 595 ) students</td>
<td>Positive beliefs about the firm positively influence attitude toward the firm, which then influences purchase intention. This relationship is moderated through the cause-brand fit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boenigk and Schuchardt (2013)</td>
<td>Cause-related Marketing Campaigns with Luxury Firms: An Experimental Study of Campaign Characteristics, Attitudes, and Donations</td>
<td>International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing</td>
<td>Attribution theory, anchoring and adjustment theory</td>
<td>Experimental design, ( n = 281 ) students</td>
<td>The highest level of purchase intention can be reached when donation amount spent is perceived to be high.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang (2011)</td>
<td>Guilt Appeals in Cause-related Marketing, The Subversive Roles of Product Type and Donation Magnitude</td>
<td>International Journal of Advertising</td>
<td>Cognitive dissonance theory</td>
<td>Experimental design, ( n = 820 ) participants</td>
<td>Communicating a cause with a guilt appeal has a positive effect on purchase intention. This effect is higher for utilitarian products and a low donation amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang (2012)</td>
<td>Missing Ingredients in Cause-related Advertising – The Right Formula of Execution Style and Cause Framing</td>
<td>International Journal of Advertising</td>
<td>Congruency theory</td>
<td>Experimental design, ( n = 236 ) students</td>
<td>A cause-focused ad leads to higher purchase intention in hedonic product promotions and a brand-orientated ad leads to higher purchase intention in utilitarian product promotion. This effect is moderated by self vs other-focused.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang and Liu (2012)</td>
<td>Goodwill Hunting? Influences of Product-cause Fit, Product Type, and Donation Level in Cause-related Marketing</td>
<td>Marketing Intelligence and Planning</td>
<td>Attribution theory</td>
<td>Discrete choice experiment, ( n = 512 ) participants</td>
<td>A high cause-brand fit (consistent or complimentary) leads to higher purchase intention. Customers are more likely to choose a hedonic product offering a donation with a complementary-fit cause. In contrast, individuals tend to prefer a utilitarian product with a consistent-fit cause. This effect is moderated by donation level. Higher donation level positively influences purchase intention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal and Description</td>
<td>Methodology and Sample Size</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Huang (2016)</td>
<td>Cause-related Marketing is Not Always Less Favorable than Corporate Philanthropy: The Moderating Role of Self-construal</td>
<td>International Journal of Research in Marketing</td>
<td>Construal-level theory, n = 203 participants</td>
<td>Customers react more positively toward philanthropy than Cause-related Marketing. But it also depends on the customers: customers with interdependent self-construal are more likely to choose Cause-related Marketing than customers with independent self-construal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chéron et al. (2012)</td>
<td>The Effects of Brand-cause Fit and Campaign Duration on Consumer Perception of Cause-related Marketing in Japan</td>
<td>Journal of Consumer Marketing</td>
<td>Attribution theory, n = 196 participants</td>
<td>A high cause-brand fit creates greater purchase intention. This effect is moderated by gender and past experiences in philanthropic activities. The duration of the Cause-related Marketing campaign does not influence purchase intention.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elving (2013)</td>
<td>Skepticism and Corporate Social Responsibility Communications: the Influence of Fit and Reputation</td>
<td>Journal of Marketing Communications</td>
<td>Attribution theory, associative network theory, congruence theory</td>
<td>Customers' skepticism when confronted with a Cause-related Marketing advertisement negatively influences purchase intention. Bad reputation leads to more skepticism; high level of fit instead leads to less skepticism.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galan-Ladero et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Does the Product Type Influence on Attitudes Toward Cause-Related Marketing?</td>
<td>Economics and Sociology</td>
<td>Questionnaire, n = 456 participants</td>
<td>Cause-related Marketing influences purchase intention through the mediator of attitude toward it. This effect depends on the product type: utilitarian products are more effective for Cause-related Marketing campaigns.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Research Question</td>
<td>Journal/Method</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guerreiro et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Attention, Emotions and Cause-related Marketing Effectiveness</td>
<td>European Journal of Marketing, Stimulus-Organism-Response theory, Eye tracking, n = 48 participants</td>
<td>Emotional arousal and pleasure are dominant when buying hedonic products. When buying utilitarian products customers focus on the brand logo and the donation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gupta and Pirsch (2006)</td>
<td>The Company-cause-customer Fit Decision in Cause-related Marketing</td>
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